Approved For Release 2001/09/05: PIARPPROBLESSIFICATION Release Instructions on File



PRESIDENT OF THE NAVAL WAR COLLEGE Newport, Rhode Island 02840

9 JUL 1973

Dear Mr. Fox,

Thank you for your interest and the encouragement to attend the Conference Board meeting at Chatham Bars Inn. Just scanning the list of the North East Regional Advisory Council, assures me of the quality of discussion which will characterize the meetings. I'm looking forward to meeting you and to the prospect of spending a delightful yet stimulating weekend in Chatham.

Warm regards.

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER Vice Admiral, U.S. Navy

Mr. John Fox H.P. Hood Inc. 500 Rutherford Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02129

INDUSTRY

Topics of potential interest:

- Workers become directors. It is law in Sweden and may soon be sweeping all of Europe. Norway, Britain and Denmark are considering now. Germany had practiced for 20 years already. Results to date overseas 50/50. Today -- a utility company in Northern U.S. has this problem.
- Fair financial disclosures. The CPA stamp on a financial statement must be believable for the modern company is so complex in different industries in different countries, that the investor is not equipped to verify the financial statement. It is therefore essential to have an auditor who can see that he gets the "fair disclosure he is entitled to have. Trust is required between -- CPA, company and public."
- Utilities: They claim that they are over regulated and under financed and that the industry is drifting into deep trouble. Most are short of money, ideas, and power -- can't serve present customers let alone those of tomorrow. Regulators are preoccupied with rates and holding rate of return on investments regardless of how company is run. This leaves top management with little incentive to control costs for smart or dumb-rate of return is same. (May turn out to be like railroads if regulations aren't changed.)

(4) The stock market dries up:

A careful look at patters of trading in U.S. financial markets leads to an ominous conclusion: Today's markets are not performing their traditional function of supplying new capital to companies that need it for expansion and modernization (So much investment is now concentrated in the hands of the institutions -- particularly pension funds and trusts -- that the small investor no longer counts. And the institutional investors are unwilling to put their money

into anything but a <u>limited list of favorite companies</u>. As a result, there are only two kinds of companies that can sell stock today: the blessed few, who get astronomical multiples, and the desperately hungry, who are willing to take only four or five times earnings for their equity. Hundreds of emerging companies are cut off from stock financing entirely. Thousands of established corporations are going dangerously into debt because they cannot get buyers for equity.

The situation fully justifies the concern shown by Senator Lloyd Bentsen (D-Tex.), who says: "I do not want banks to control American business the way they control German business." Bentsen is holding hearings and expects to bring in legislation designed to broaden the markets. One suggestion is to limit the percentage of any corporation's stock that an institution can hold. Another is to limit the percentage of its assets that an institution can put into any one corporation.

To judge the effectiveness of such measures, however, Congress and the public will need information. The institutions have always been close-mouthed about what they hold and when they make a change. The first step toward repair of the deteriorating capital markets should be to get all the facts about institutional holdings and trading out on the table. Senator Harrison Williams (D-N.J.) has proposed a bill to require institutional disclosure. Congress should lose no time adopting it.

The promise that productivity still holds:

Two major conclusions emerge from a long, careful look at the productivity of the U.S. economy and the factors that contribute to it or put a drag on it:

- Levels of productivity in the U.S. are high -- the highest in the world. Despite cyclical ups and downs -- and with the notable exception of a few industries -- the record shows a strong, continuing uptrend.

- There is a potential for even greater gains in the future, but they will not come automatically. To convert that potential into realized gains, management must put into practice the new ideas that technology

is feeding it, draw on the behavioral sciences to devise new incentives for its workers, and organize to increase its own effectivenss. Labor must accept the fact that it cannot demand higher wages and more stimulating work and still cling to restrictive job practices and featherbedding.

The U.S. has not by any means come to the end of the line in productivity, but it has come to a crossroads. If it is to achieve real growth in the future, it must strike out now in a new direction.

It is vitally important that the U.S. should achieve such growth -- at a rate even faster than the historical averages. For the nation cannot reach the goals it has set for itself -- and cannot face the ever-toughening competition of overseas producers -- without lifting itself to a new level of efficiency.

But before management can come to grips with the problem it must get rid of some excess baggage consisting of misconceptions about what productivity is and how it has behaved in the recent past.

Good but not good enough:

In fact, the U.S. has no reason to be ashamed of its record in productivity. Despite all the rhetoric, the American worker today produces \$100 worth of goods while his German counterpart is producing \$74 worth and a Japanese is producing \$56.

Nor did lagging productivity cause the inflation that began in the mid-1960s and still continues. Rather, it was the inflation that caused the lag in productivity -- by generating a boom-and recession business cycle. Productivity always slows down in the late stages of a boom, and it drops precipitously when the economy goes into a slump. Significantly, output per man-hour has begun to rise smartly now that the economic recovery has picked up momentum.

But impressive though the U.S. record has been, it still is not good enough. The average gain of 3.2% a year in output per man-hour over the past decade will not support wage increases of 8% and 10% without a

violent cost-push inflation. If labor is to get the rising wages that union leaders have promised, only increasing productivity can keep wage bargaining from becoming a permanent inflation machine.

Similarly, U.S. producers cannot expect to hold their share of market when they are chugging along at 3.2% and their competitors are shooting up at 6%

(the Common Market) and 11% (Japan).

Add to this the fact that in the fiscal 1973 budget, federal spending exceeds by more than \$12-billion the level that receipts would reach at full employment. Though tight controls on spending would reduce the gap, they still would leave a full-employment deficit -- a sure sign that the nation is overcommitted. If the U.S. cannot produce more -- and thereby lift revenues toward the balance point -- it will have to choose between heavier taxes and more inflation.

This is where productivity could provide the longrun answer. As Chairman C. Jackson Grayson of the Price Commission has pointed out, just one more percentage point on the productivity growth rate for the next 10 years would generate an additional \$600-bil-

lion of output.

Approved For Release 2001/09/05 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003600190012-4

Banking

• OVERVIEW Cautious men -- operate local banking only

Past 15 years — banking into retail service — foreign branches—)global money managers. Spend millions on computing machines and comm equip — bank holding companies — diversification into non banking business.

Assets of U.S. banks -- \$746 billion

Main impact of technology = computer and proliferation of credit cards

• What's new --

ECON: NOW accounts -- essentially checking account that draws interest

POLITICAL: Recent decision of Congress to permit all banks and S&L in Mass & N.H. only to offer NOW accounts

Performance: Bank of America - biggest \$43B assets
CITICORP* had greatest profit - \$202M (\$37B assets)

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CITICORP = W. B. WRISTON

"Our corporate objective," says Wriston, is to provide every worthwhile service everywhere in the world we can legally do it, and do it at a profit. That is part management philosophy and part temper of the times."

*CITICORP = 1st National City Corp, NYC

• Internal problem: Getting bright people with ability to <u>control</u> costs. Their gray flannel suit man must come with completely new wares today.

How to market their new services for competition is severe, i.e., 5000 depositor gets toaster, etc.

Loans to minority groups -- takes inordinate time to transact -- U.S. loan to GM for example

• External problems - Some in Congress would like to see the trust depts spin off from banks--they hold too much! \$330B of the \$500B worth of securities held by institutional investors.

200 top banks performed

K	DEPOS	EPOSITS					LOANS	NET OPER. INCOME 12 MOS.	CHANGE	'	RET. COM. EQY.	10-YEAR GROWTH	
	TOTAL 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	TIME %	DEMAND %	FGN. %	DOM. %	ASSETS 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	0/S 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	ENDING 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	FROM 1972 .%	12 MOS. E.P.S.	12 MOS. ENDING 6/30/73	COM EQY. %	E.P.S. %.
1 BankAmerica (San Francisco) 2 First National City (New York) 3 Chase Manhattan (New York) 4 Manufacturers Hanover (New York) 5 Western Bancorp (Los Angeles)	36521 29390 26242 15238 13472	68 26(a) 25(a) 26(a) 61(c)	32 25(a) 37(a) 43(a) 39	35 49 37 31 9	65 51 63 69 91	43072 37350 32608 18132 16569	22058 24124 19100 12335(b) 9647	74.4	10 25 5 18 17	2.90 1.96 4.87 3.15 3.25	13.8 14.5 12.4 12.1 11.8	6.2 4.9 4.7 4.8 6.4	8.8 9.5 7.6 8.4 6.8
6 Morgan (J.P.) (New York) 7 Chemical New York 8 Bankers Trust New York 9 Security Pacific (Los Angeles) 10 Continental Illinois (Chicago)	13129 13016 12848 11304 11227	23(a) 61(c) 60(c) 70(c) 40(a)	34(a) 39 40 30 28(a)	44 27 31 21 32	56 73 69 79 68	17297 16151 16114 12999 14833	9196 9379 9219(b) 6998 8675	58.3 80.1	15 -10 14 -6 2	3.63 4.58 6.15 2.88 4.64	14.9 9.2 12.5 10.8 12.6	3.7 3.7 4.3 6.4 5.7	9.8 4.5 5.2 7.7 7.9
11 First Chicago 12 Marine Midland Banks (Buffalo) 13 Wells Fargo (San Francisco) 14 Charter New York 15 Crocker National (San Francisco)	10703 9979 8275 7849 6532	49(a) 63(c) 48(a) 58(c) 71(c)	24(a) 37 29(a) 42 29	28 31 23 26 16	72 69 77 74 84	13915 12174 10551 9318 7714	8833 5936(b) 6427 4261(b) 4524	42.9 30.7 33.4	16 -4 18 22 0	4.17 3:32 2.24 3.65 3.24	12.5 11.1 11.5 10.0 11.1	6.1 5.6 4.6 5.7 4.5	9.4 6.7 6.8 2.0 5.2
16 Mellon National (Pittsburgh) 17 National Detroit 18 First National Boston 19 Northwest Bancerp (Minneapolis) 20 First Bank System (Minneapolis)	6296 5397 5247 4642 4380	72(c) 58(c) 27(a) 59 61	28 42 35(a) - 41 39	39 38 0 0	61 - 85 - 62- 100 100	8578 6392 7000 5992 5780	5604 2783 3791 3675 3423	49.5 37.2 46.0- 45.7 51.5	10 3 18 16 10	4.98 6.20 — 3.84 3.94 3.50	9.4 11.0 —11.3 13.7 14.4	3.3 6.4 5.5 5.9 6.6	6.6 9.0 7.8 9.7 12.0
21 First Pennsylvania (Philadelphia) 22 Franklin New York 23 Bank of New York 24 Harris Bancorp (Chicago) 25 Unionamerica (Los Angeles)	3427 3408 3075 2938 2929	50(a) 64(c) 51(c) 51(c) 50(a)	33(a) 36 49 49 41(a)	17 26 10 15 9	83 74 90 85 91	5193 4684 3649 3650 3795	3355 2496 1928 1672 2362	41.0 13.7 27.0 20.2 28.1	17 -1 0 22 26	3.18 2.34 4.40 6.54 2.66	17.3 8.4 13.3 11.3 18.3	7.8 7.4 9.9(d 8.4 10.2	8.5 7.3
26 First International Bancshares (Dallas) 27 Seattle-First National Bank 28 Republic National Bank of Dallas 37 Philadelphia National irst Wisconsin Bankshares (Milwaukee)	2678 2626 2596 2567 2538	61(c) 61(c) 58(c) 52 70	39 39 42 48 30	27 9 33 29 21	73 91 67 71 79	3613 3507 3941 3686 3128	1552 1942 1871 2096 1758	26.4 23.7 24.8 23.3 18.4	17 9 11 1 21	2.36 5.27 2.64 4.14 4.38	14.7 13.1 14.7 12.2 12.4	7.1 6.4 2.9 6.1 6.9	9.2 9.2 8.4 10.1 10.1
31 Cleveland Trust 32 NCNB (Charlotte, N.C.) 33 Girard (Bala Cymyd, Pa.) 34 Michigan National (Bloomfield Hills) 35 Wachovia (Winston-Salem, N.C.)	2513 2511 2492 2416 2397	63 64(c) 62(c) 68 60(c)	37 36 38 32 40	(e) 25 26 0 13	100 75 74 100 87	3070 3456 3103 2881 3554	1789 1852 1584 1889 2132(b	30.3 24.5 16.2 21.4 32.9	17 24 8 NA 7	10.06 1.52 6.38 5.44 2.25	16.9 11.8 18.7 12.0	7.0 10.8 5.3 12.3 15.4	9.9 12.8 7.0 7.7 11.3
36 Detroitbank 37 Nortrust (Chicago) 38 National Bank of North America (N.Y.) 39 Valley National Bank of Arizona (Phoenix) 40 Bancohio (Columbus)	2293 2264 2256 2249 2239	68 61(c) 57(c) 63 62		3 23 11 1 0	97 77 89 99 100	2710 2876 2489 2725 2783	1587 1757 1811 1713(b 1453	20.7 19.9 17.7 15.6 19.4	15 20 -15 1 17	6.15 3.91 NA 1.76 2.86	12.1 9.6 12.9 11.1	6.0 7.9 18.7(d 7.9 11.4	9.5 6.0
41 Manufacturers National (Detroit) 42 Citizens & Southern National (Atlanta) 43 First City Bancorp of Texas (Houston) 44 Bancal Tri-State (San Francisco) 45 Lincoln First Banks (Rochester)	2207 2152 2150 2108 2063	62 52 50(c) 59(c) 58		1 2 7 9 0	99 98 93 91 100	2579 2894 2748 2604 2462	1586 1957 1378 1630 1426	15.7 24.8 18.0 7.4 14.0	13 18 18 10 -2	5.07 1.06 2.38 2.45 3.69	14.0 14.1 8.8 9.9	6.2 12.9 4.8 4.3 8.6	8.0 12.8 8.7 1.3 7.1
46 U.S. Bancorp (Portland, Ore.) 47 Fidelity of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia) 48 Texas Commerce Bancshares (Houston) 49 Pittsburgh National 50 Shawmul Association (Boston)	1940 1847 1830 1755 1695	59(c) 62(c) 52(c) 56 45(c)	38 48 44	3 22 8 5 4	97 78 92 95 96	2430 2407 2322 2393 2247	1500 1510 1274 1221 1303	18.2 18.3 19.4 22.4 11.4	19 15 24 5 4	2.25 4.17 2.14 3.65 5.96	15.0 13.9 12.3 9.6	4.8 6.2 6.5 6.3 7.7	8.6 10.2 8.2 10.8 5.4
51 Marine Bancorp (Seattle) 52 Financial General Bankshares (Wash., D.G 53 Southeast Banking (Miami) 54 Security National (Hempstead, N.Y.) 55 Centran Bancshares (Cleveland)	1687 1660 1600 1596 1580	51(a) 58 54 57 62(c	42 46 43) 38	0 1 0 6	87 100 99 100 94	2089 1925 2042 2146 1960	1192 1003 1111 1387 1124	10.1 9.7 16.8 11.5 12.2	3 27 23 -17 9	2.74 1.72 2.01 2.31 3.11	13.1 1 15.7 5 9.7 8 11.3		11. 13. 7.
56 United Virginia Bankshares (Richmond) 57 Baystate (Boston) 58 National City (Cleveland) 59 United Jersey Banks (Princeton) 60 Midlantic Banks (Newark)	1563 1447 1411 1382 1378	55 44 59(c 63 58(c	37	0 0 9 0 2	100 100 91 100 98	2087 1675 1864 1757 1657	1339 966 848 1024 969	14.5 13.0 23.1 11.8 15.5	15 9 6 8 10	2.91 4.30 3.21 2.09 4.80	0 12.1 1 14.3 9 15.1	12.4 7.7 6.7 18.9(c) 12.6	9.2 8.4 10.2 d) 11.2 9.8

(a) Does not total 100% because foreign deposits, which cannot be broken out between time/savings and demand are excludec; (b) Loans include federal funds sold; (c) Assumes that all foreign deposits are time/savings, but a breakdown from the bank is not available; (d) Five-year growth rate, 10-year data not available; (e) Foreign deposits amount to less than 1% of total deposits; NA, not available; NM, not meaningful. (Data: Investors Management Sciences, Inc.).

SPECIMA GENER

BUSINESS WEEK: September 15, 1973

135

"To a responsive public, Sanwa Bank expresses its sincere appreciation."

A Message from T. Murano, President of Sanwa Bank

"A motto is only as meaningful as the efforts behind it. Behind ours — 'Sanwa Bank at Your Service' — is a long tradition for serving the public's banking needs.

"With the increasing public demands for socially responsible business conduct, and with dramatic changes occurring in the international economic society, Sanwa Bank has striven to expand and modernize its services.

"We're a people's bank, a pioneer in both customer service and near-banking businesses, such as the creditcard system, leasing, factoring and computer services. This pioneer spirit can be seen not only in our domestic banking, but also in our international operations, such as joint ventures and capital participations. We are also increasingly supplying medium-term and long-term loans, including syndicate loans, and making international investments.

"In other words, we are meeting the needs of the times. This, I believe, is an important basis for further growth as it has been for our growth thus far. Our financial statement reflects the result of Sanwa's efforts to build better customer relationships through better service."

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET

March 31, 1973

ASSETS (in thousands)		
Cash and Due from Banks	¥ 397,356,374	\$ 1,500,024
Call Loans	4,107,918	15,507
Securities	730,104,984	2,756,153
Loans and Bills Discounted		14,426,441
Foreign Exchanges	322,586,038	1,217,765
Domestic Exchange	1	, ,
Settlement a/c, Dr	18,038,329	68,095
Customers' Liabilities for		
Acceptances and Guarantees	701,178,266	2,646,955
Bank Premises and Real Estate .	89,185,422	336,676
Other Assets	16,659,961	62,892
TOTAL	¥6,100,781,485	\$23,030,508

LIABILITIES (in thousands)		
Deposits	¥4,308,215,175	\$16,263,553
Call Money	322,747,000	1,218,373
Borrowed Money	164,602,060	621,374
Foréign Exchanges	184,750,053	697,433
Domestic Exchange		
Settlement a/c, Cr	12,283,098	46,369
Acceptances and Guarantees	701,178,266	2,646,955
Accrued Expenses	86,711,837	327,338
Unearned Income	26,893,611	101,524
Other Liabilities	24,126,399	91,077
Reserve for		
Possible Loan Losses	67,045,121	253,096
Reserve for		
Retirement Allowances	17,277,209	65,222
Reserve for		
Price Fluctuation	8,583,968	32,405
Other Reserves	12,823,045	48,407
Capital (Paid-up)	50,400,000	190,260
Legal Reserves	11,034,750	41,656
Other Surplus	102,109,893	385,466
(Profit for the year after Tax)	(22,858,404)	(86,291)
TOTAL	¥6,100,781,485	\$23,030,508

* Yen amounts were converted into U.S. dollars at the current rate of Mar. 30, 1973 (U.S.\$1=\times264.90)

LIABILITIES (in the control of

SANWA BANK

OVERSEAS OFFICES: New York Agency: 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10005 / Chicago Representative Office: One IBM Plaza, Suite 3610, Chicago, Ill. 60611 / San Francisco Branch: 300 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104 / London, Frankfurt, Hong Kong, Kowloon, Sydney, Singapore, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur

ASSOCIATES AND AFFILIATES: The Sanwa Bank of California: 300 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104 / Sanwa Bank (Underwriters) Ltd.: London / Sanwa Financial Services Ltd.: London / Associated Japanese Bank (International) Ltd.: London / Banco Bradesco de Investimento S.A.: São Paulo / Eurofinance: Paris / Commercial Continental Ltd.: Sydney / Commercial Continental (Securities) Ltd.: Sydney / Liberty Bank: Honolulu / New Hebrides Trust Co., Ltd.: New Hebrides / Bangkok Nomura

BANK	DEPOS	DEPOSITS						NET OPER.			RET.	10-YEAR GROWTH	
	TOTAL 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	TIME %	DEMAND %	FGN.	DOM.	ASSETS 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	LOANS 0/S 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	12 MOS. ENDING 6/30/73 \$ MIL.	CHANGE FROM 1972 %	12 MOS. E.P.S.	EQY. 12 MOS. ENDING 6/30/73	COM EQY. %	E.P.S.
131. South Carolina National (Columbia) 132 Arizona Bank (Phoenix) 133 Idaho First National Bank (Das Prov 134 Old Kent Financial (Grand Rapids, Mich	.) 68/	34 Rel§3as ,71 ,62	66 se 2 <mark>17</mark> 01/ ²⁹	ကန္တိဝ	100	883 A- R979 P 8 829 818	EAA.	8.6	10	2.49 0 0182 - 3.63 2.57 2.30		8.7 17.3(d) 14.7(d) 17.1(d)	13.8 13.6(d) 14.3(d) 13.4(d)

Glossary:

Deposits

Total deposits as of June 30, 1973. Time and savings deposits and demand deposits will together total 100% unless otherwise indicated. Foreign and domestic deposits will together total 100% unless otherwise indicated.

Loans outstanding

Aggregate face value of all outstanding loans before deduction of loan loss reserves. Excludes federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell unless otherwise indicated.

Net operating income

Net current operating income after minority interest and taxes but before securities gains and losses and preferred dividends for the 12 months ending June 30, 1973.

Earnings per share

Net operating income available for common per share after the effect of common stock equivalents and minority interest, and before securities gains and losses and extraordinary items, for the 12 months ending June 30, 1973.

Return on common equity

The ratio of net available for common stockholders to average common equity, which includes common stock, undivided profits (retained earnings), capital surplus, and contingent reserves.

Growth in common equity

Annual percentage growth in common equity for latest 10-year period, based on least squares method.

Growth in earnings per share

Annual percentage growth in earnings per share, including all common stock equivalents, for latest 10-year period, based on least squares method.